

A Study on the Interlocutor's Pronunciation in TOEIC Listening Comprehension Section: Item Analysis Using Rasch Model

Taeyoung Kim
(Chonbuk National University)

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to analyze TOEIC Listening Comprehension with Winsteps 3.68, the test tool using Rasch model. It is to see the appropriateness of the thirty question items of Part 3. To measure listening ability, TOEIC Listening Comprehension section is used because it is the most taken certified English test in Korea. Since ETS does not provide the actual monthly TOEIC test results, the test results of 117 participants who had taken simulation TOEIC test developed by a private company is used. Thirty question items of TOEIC Part 3 are analyzed with Rasch model. It is found that the pronunciation and accents of the English do not have effect on the item difficulty.

Key words: English pronunciation, TOEIC listening comprehension, Rasch analysis

I. Introduction

This study aims to see whether the difficulty of the listening test items is affected by the different pronunciation and accents of the native English speakers. In this study, the conversation sets of TOEIC listening comprehension Part 3 will be analyzed with the Rasch model, since TOEIC is the most taken English proficiency test in Korea. Research question to fulfill the objective of this study is as follows: Do the different English pronunciation and accents (American English / non-American English) have effect on the test takers' difficulty awareness in TOEIC listening comprehension?

II. Background

1. Factors which make listening difficult

It is definitely true that every language has its own inventory of sounds. Some sounds occur uniquely to only one language, some commonly in some languages, and some may occur in different combinations. One of the reasons Ur (1984) introduced why L2 learners have difficulty in listening to the target language is that the learners cannot perceive sounds correctly if the sound is not in their native language system. So the learners need to learn to pronounce the sounds which does not exist in their mother tongue first if they want to improve L2 listening. Another reason which makes L2 listening difficult is the unfamiliar stress and intonation patterns in the target language. In other words, features of language which are familiar to the learner make listening less difficult.

2. Rasch model

Rasch model is a kind of Item Response Theory which assumes the response of test takers to test items with mathematical solution. However, the feature which distinguish Rasch model from others is that it

only uses item difficulty to measure test takers' ability. The basic logic of the Rasch model is that a probability will be higher when test takers answer correctly on easier items, and lower when they answer correctly on more difficult items. And when the test takers' ability and the difficulty of the items are given, the dichotomous model, which is the simplest model of the Rasch models, predicts the probability of a binary outcome (correct and incorrect). This model can express the probability of obtaining a correct answer as a function of the size of the difference between the test takers' ability and the item difficulty (Bond and Fox, 2007).

III. Methodology

The subjects of the present study were 117 participants of simulation TOEIC test held by Language Education Center of Chonbuk National University in Jeonju, Korea. Almost all participants are university students who are in diverse range of majors. To all participants, English is a foreign language and they had studied English for at least six years throughout their secondary education.

In this study, part 3 is used because the format is more similar to the real life communication settings than other parts of TOEIC Listening Comprehension section. The answers of 117 test takers are analyzed with Winsteps 3.68, which is a test tool using Rasch model. In Rasch model, reliability figure corresponds to Cronbach's Alpha Coefficient, which indicates high reliability if the figure is 0.8~0.9, and normally accepted when the figure is over 0.7 (Chi and Chae 2000). Also, it is read as fit items when infitMNSQ is ranging from 0.7 to 1.3, and ZSTD is ranging from -2.0 to 2.0 (Ji and Chae, 2000; Bond and Fox, 2007). The Item Person Map shows the distribution of the item difficulty and person's performance in a 'map' form. Items numbers indicate each item, and an "X" represents each person's performance. Persons and items are located on the map according to their ability and difficulty (Bond and Fox, 2007). And if a person who is located on the same point on the scale with a certain item, it means that the person has a 50% probability to answer the item right.

IV. Results

This study is to find out whether the different English pronunciation and accents have effect on the L2 listening comprehension and item difficulty. In this study, the difference in pronunciation and accent does not seem to affect the listening comprehension. There is no significant ground to discriminate the difficulty of the question items with the pronunciation and accents of the speakers in the conversation.

As shown in Table 1, item reliability 0.93 indicates that the items have reliability in measuring what is supposedly to be measured, and is higher enough to proceed with the analysis. Also these question items are seen to have appropriate difficulty, judging from their infitMNSQ figures ranging from 0.78 to 1.30, and ZSTD from -2.9 to 1.8 (Bond and Fox, 2007).

[TABLE 1] Summary of 30 Measured Items

	Infit	
	MNSQ	ZSTD
Mean	1.00	-.1
S.D.	.11	1.0
Max.	1.30	1.8
Min.	.78	-2.9
Item Reliability .93		

In TOEIC Part 3, the conversation sets are composed with two people, a man and a woman, talking to each other. The Table 2 shows the pronunciation and accents used in each conversation of the TOEIC test in this study. For American pronunciation and accents, an American man and a woman recorded the conversation. For non-American, a British man and an Australian woman did the recording. And the distribution of each item in Item Person Map is shown in Figure 1. (The pair of letters shown in the parentheses next to the each question number is the pronunciation and accents of the man and the woman. n: non-American, A: American)

[TABLE 2] Pronunciation and Accents of Question Sets

Questions	Speech order man(m)/woman(w)	Pronunciation and Accent	
		man	woman
41-43	w-m-w	American	non-American
44-46	m-w-m-w	non-American	American
47-49	w-m-w-m	American	American
50-52	w-m-w	non-American	American
53-55	m-w-m-w	American	American
56-58	m-w-m-w	non-American	non-American
59-61	m-w-m-w	non-American	American
62-64	m-w-m-w	American	American
65-67	m-w-m-w	non-American	non-American
68-70	m-w-m-w	American	American

became more familiar with non-American pronunciation and accents. And when ETS develops TOEIC questions, they follow the norms to use the pronunciation and accents of various countries such as US, GB, Canada, Australia, New Zealand in Listening Comprehension section (www.ybmsisa.com).

This study is to find out whether the different English pronunciation and accents have effect on L2 listening comprehension and item difficulty. But, the pronunciation and accents of the conversations are only categorized in American and non-American in this study. The further study could be done with more subdivided pronunciation and accents in World Englishes.

REFERENCES

- 지은림, 채선희. (2000). *Rasch모형의이론과실제*. 교육과학사.
- Anderson, A., & Lynch, T. (1988). *Listening*. Oxford University Press.
- Baker, F. B. (1985). *The Basic of Item Response Theory*. Heinemann.
- Bond, T. G., & Fox, C. M. (2007). *Applying the Rasch Model: Fundamental Measurement in the Human Sciences*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Brown, G. (1977). *Listening to Spoken English*. Longman.
- Brown, H. D. (2001). *Teaching by Principles: An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy*. Longman.
- Choe, M. (2010). A Rasch analysis of a nationwide English placement test in Korea. *English Teaching*, 65(2), 3-23.
- Dunkkel, P. (1991). Listening in the native and second/foreign language: Toward an integration of research and practice. *TESOL Quarterly* 25(3), 431-457.
- Lado, R. (1964). *Language Testing: The Construction and Use of Foreign Language Tests*. McGraw-Hill Book company.
- McNamara, T. (2000). *Language Testing*. Oxford University Press.
- Rost, M. (2002). *Teaching and Researching Listening*. Longman.
- Ur, P. (1984). *Teaching Listening Comprehension*. Cambridge University Press.
- Valette, R. M. (1977). *Modern Language Testing*. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Inc.

About the Presenter

Taeyoung Kim received an MA in English Education from Chonbuk National University, Korea. Currently, she is in the doctoral course at the same university.